



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 10/077,928 | 02/20/2002 | Howard Murad | 2267-024 7746 EXAMINER | |
| 9629 | 7590 04/22/2004 | | | |
| MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP | | | KIM, VICKIE Y | |
| 1111 PENNSÝLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| *************************************** | ., 20 2000 | | 1614 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/077,928 | MURAD, HOWARD | |
| , idvidely, ielien | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Vickie Kim | 1614 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel | ation. A proper reply to a | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period cee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the main | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extensioning of the fee. The appropriate extensioning of the fee. | ion sion |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | |
| 2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | pelow); | • | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal, and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | e |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of f | nally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendmen | t |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | dered but does NOT place the | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 23-34. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app | roved or b)□ disapproved by t | he Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | |
| 10. Other: | 0 | VICKIE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER | à |

Vickie Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1614

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly amended claims changed the scope of the claims wherein both an antimicrobial agent and an antiinflammatory agent must be included in the invetntio. Thus, the claims presented for the examination require further search and consideration.